THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE, MONDAY, MAY 2, 1870.

The Chicago Tribune.

VOL. 23. CHICAGO, MONDAY, MAY 2, 1870. NUMBER 305.

WASHINGTON.

Warm Debate in the House on the Proposition to Postpone the Tariff Bill.

Important Supreme Court Decision.

Favorable Condition of the Treasury Department.

Special Dispatch to The Chicago Tribune.

WASHINGTON, D. C., April 30.—The bill authorizing the Atlantic & Pacific Railroad to construct its road through Indian Territory will go to the President to-morrow, and he signs it. The act of last session granting to that company alternate sections of land, now only counts the point just west of Cherokee. The route by Fort Smith has yet to be surveyed, but will now be delivered to the proper company. The bill gives six sections of land to the mile, and the company surrender twelve sections in place of the same quantity forfeited. The Secretary of the Interior has the power under the old laws. All questions as to time to complete the road are now settled.

COTTON RAILROAD BILL.

The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company has got a bill through the Senate which gives it to carry cotton. It has been before the Supreme Court of the United States, but on account of its purposely brevity the bill drawn, and it was killed under it in the House.

The various competing parties to consolidate the Pennsylvania railroads, several bills for which have been introduced in the House, are about harmonizing, it is said, and will probably agree upon a bill which will be satisfactory to all parties interested. The Pacific Railroad Company will be relieved by the Pacific Railroad Committee to-morrow, upon which the company will be ordered to report.

SECRETARY BOUTWELL.

The General Commanding the Grand Army of the Republic has designated May 30 as the day on which soldiers' graves shall be decorated.

Colonel Wilcox, of General Thomas' staff, has been ordered to Fort Leavenworth for duty.

Secretary Boutwell is confined to his house with a severe cold. Everything was in perfect order, and the opening of the papers was a matter involving very little time.

THE PARTY CLOSING.

Washington, D. C., May 1.—The Grand Jubilee of the Republican party closed to-night with a reception at the Executive Mansion. The President and Mrs. Grant, assisted by Vice-President Colfax, Speaker Blaine, Chief Justice Chase, Secretary Boutwell, Postmaster-General Creswell, Attorney-General Hoar, Secretary Robeson, Secretary Cox, Secretary Delano, Secretary Rawlins, Governor Shepherd, Governor Walker, Governor Hawley, Governor Bullock, Governor Harris, Governor Bross, Governor Fenton, Governor Oglesby, Governor Yates, Governor Brownlow, Governor Clayton, Governor Warmoth, Governor Holden, Governor Parsons, Governor Safford, Governor Hamilton, Governor Pierpont, Governor Farwell, Governor Stone, Governor Hubbard, Governor Kellogg, Governor Ashley, Governor Hendricks, Governor Baker, Governor Bullock, Governor Hamilton, Governor Hartranft, Governor Geary, Governor Curtin, Governor Hoffman, Governor Haight, Governor Stanford, Governor Low, Governor Pacheco, Governor Blair, Governor Fairchild, Governor Stevens

SAFETY FILM

THE LAW COURTS.

Alleged Divorce Fraud — The Goodman Case.

The Following Eligible-E—Mond Estate Cases.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.

IN BANKRUPTCY.

Louis Gaylord, Jr., Assignee of ... vs. John Lisle, of Chicago, and Henry W. Stoddard, of Chicago.

CIRCUIT COURT.

Jonathan Ashton vs. The City of Chicago. In this case, mentioned yesterday, the jury gave it a verdict for $1,000 in favor of the plaintiff. The judgment for damages is against the city, and runs in favor of the plaintiff. The property damaged is on Quincy street, between Clark and Dearborn, and was formerly occupied by Ashton as a grocery.

Mary Fitzpatrick vs. Michael Fitzpatrick. This was a petition for divorce, and was heard before Judge Moore. The petitioner was married to the defendant in Ireland, in 1847, and they have lived together in this city ever since. They have had a family of children, but only two of them are living. The petitioner alleges that her husband has been guilty of adultery, and that he has treated her with extreme cruelty. She asks for a divorce, and the custody of the children. The defendant denies the charges, and says that his wife has been guilty of adultery, and that she has left his bed and board without any cause. The case was submitted to the court, and the decision was reserved.

Mary Ryder vs. Thomas Ryder. This was a petition for divorce, filed by the wife, on the ground of adultery. The parties were married in 1865, and have lived together ever since. The petitioner alleges that the defendant has been guilty of adultery with various women, and that he has treated her with extreme cruelty. She asks for a divorce, and the custody of the children. The defendant denies the charges, and says that his wife has been guilty of adultery, and that she has left his bed and board without any cause. The case was submitted to the court, and the decision was reserved.

John Hawkins vs. Mary Jones, servant of ... This was a petition for the ... of a ... The petitioner alleges that ... The defendant denies the charges, and says that ...